Chapter 4
Network Layer



A note on the use of these ppt slides:

We're making these slides freely available to all (faculty, students, readers). They're in PowerPoint form so you can add, modify, and delete slides (including this one) and slide content to suit your needs. They obviously represent a *lot* of work on our part. In return for use, we only ask the following:

- If you use these slides (e.g., in a class) in substantially unaltered form, that you mention their source (after all, we'd like people to use our book!)
- If you post any slides in substantially unaltered form on a www site, that you note that they are adapted from (or perhaps identical to) our slides, and note our copyright of this material.

Thanks and enjoy! JFK/KWR

Computer Networking: A Top Down Approach 5th edition. Jim Kurose, Keith Ross Addison-Wesley, April 2009.

Chapter 4: Network Layer

4. 1 Introduction

4.2 Virtual circuit and datagram networks

4.3 What's inside a router

4.4 IP: Internet Protocol

- Datagram format
- IPv4 addressing
- ICMP
- IPv6

4.5 Routing algorithms

- Link state
- Distance Vector
- Hierarchical routing

4.6 Routing in the Internet

- RIP
- OSPF
- BGP

4.7 Broadcast and multicast routing

Routing Algorithm classification

Global or decentralized information?

Global:

- all routers have complete topology, link cost info
- "link state" algorithms

Decentralized:

- router knows physically-connected neighbors, link costs to neighbors
- iterative process of computation, exchange of info with neighbors
- "distance vector" algorithms

Static or dynamic?

Static:

- routes change slowly over time

Dynamic:

- routes change more quickly
  - periodic update
  - in response to link cost changes

Chapter 4: Network Layer

4. 1 Introduction

4.2 Virtual circuit and datagram networks

4.3 What's inside a router

4.4 IP: Internet Protocol

- Datagram format
- IPv4 addressing
- ICMP
- IPv6

4.5 Routing algorithms

- Link state
- Distance Vector
- Hierarchical routing

4.6 Routing in the Internet

- RIP
- OSPF
- BGP

4.7 Broadcast and multicast routing

Distance Vector Algorithm

Bellman-Ford Equation (dynamic programming)

Define

$d_x(y)$:= cost of least-cost path from x to y

Then

$$d_x(y) = \min_v \{c(x,v) + d_v(y)\}$$

where min is taken over all neighbors v of x

Bellman-Ford example



Clearly, $d_v(z) = 5$, $d_x(z) = 3$, $d_w(z) = 3$

B-F equation says:

$$d_u(z) = \min \{ c(u,v) + d_v(z), \; c(u,x) + d_x(z), \; c(u,w) + d_w(z) \}$$
$$= \min \{2 + 5, \; 1 + 3, \; 5 + 3\} = 4$$

Node that achieves minimum is next hop in shortest path ➜ forwarding table

Distance Vector Algorithm

- $D_x(y)$ = estimate of least cost from x to y
  - x maintains distance vector $\mathbf{D}_x = [D_x(y): y \in N]$
- node x:
  - knows cost to each neighbor v: $c(x,v)$
  - maintains its neighbors' distance vectors. For each neighbor v, x maintains $\mathbf{D}_v = [D_v(y): y \in N]$

Distance vector algorithm (4)

Basic idea:

- from time-to-time, each node sends its own distance vector estimate to neighbors
- when x receives new DV estimate from neighbor, it updates its own DV using B-F equation:

$$D_x(y) \leftarrow \min_v\{c(x,v) + D_v(y)\} \quad \text{for each node } y \in N$$

- under minor, natural conditions, the estimate $D_x(y)$ *converge to the actual least cost* $d_x(y)$

Distance Vector Algorithm (5)

Iterative, asynchronous:
each local iteration caused by:

- local link cost change
- DV update message from neighbor

Distributed:

- each node notifies neighbors *only* when its DV changes
  - neighbors then notify their neighbors if necessary

Each node:



$D_x(y) = \min\{c(x,y) + D_y(y),\ c(x,z) + D_z(y)\}$
$= \min\{2+0\ ,\ 7+1\} = 2$

$D_x(z) = \min\{c(x,y) +$
$D_y(z),\ c(x,z) + D_z(z)\}$
$= \min\{2+1\ ,\ 7+0\} = 3$

node x table

from \ cost to	x	y	z
x	0	2	7
y	∞	∞	∞
z	∞	∞	∞

from \ cost to	x	y	z
x	0	2	3
y	2	0	1
z	7	1	0

node y table

from \ cost to	x	y	z
x	∞	∞	∞
y	2	0	1
z	∞	∞	∞

node z table

from \ cost to	x	y	z
x	∞	∞	∞
y	∞	∞	∞
z	7	1	0



time

$D_x(y) = \min\{c(x,y) + D_y(y), c(x,z) + D_z(y)\}$
$= \min\{2+0 , 7+1\} = 2$

$D_x(z) = \min\{c(x,y) + D_y(z), c(x,z) + D_z(z)\}$
$= \min\{2+1 , 7+0\} = 3$

node x table

from \ cost to	x	y	z
x	0	2	7
y	∞	∞	∞
z	∞	∞	∞

from \ cost to	x	y	z
x	0	2	3
y	2	0	1
z	7	1	0

from \ cost to	x	y	z
x	0	2	3
y	2	0	1
z	3	1	0

node y table

from \ cost to	x	y	z
x	∞	∞	∞
y	2	0	1
z	∞	∞	∞

from \ cost to	x	y	z
x	0	2	7
y	2	0	1
z	7	1	0

from \ cost to	x	y	z
x	0	2	3
y	2	0	1
z	3	1	0

node z table

from \ cost to	x	y	z
x	∞	∞	∞
y	∞	∞	∞
z	7	1	0

from \ cost to	x	y	z
x	0	2	7
y	2	0	1
z	3	1	0

from \ cost to	x	y	z
x	0	2	3
y	2	0	1
z	3	1	0



time

Distance Vector: link cost changes

Link cost changes:

- node detects local link cost change
- updates routing info, recalculates distance vector
- if DV changes, notify neighbors



"good news travels fast"

t_0: y detects link-cost change, updates its DV, informs its neighbors.

t_1: z receives update from y, updates its table, computes new least cost to x , sends its neighbors its DV.

t_2: y receives z's update, updates its distance table. y's least costs do *not* change, so y does *not* send a message to z.

Distance Vector: link cost changes

Link cost changes:

- good news travels fast
- bad news travels slow - "count to infinity" problem!
- 44 iterations before algorithm stabilizes: see text



Poisoned reverse:

- If Z routes through Y to get to X :
  - Z tells Y its (Z's) distance to X is infinite (so Y won't route to X via Z)
- will this completely solve count to infinity problem?

Distance Vector: link cost increases



node x table

cost to

from	x	y	z
x	0	4	5
y	4	0	1
z	5	1	0

60, 4, 50

cost to

from	x	y	z
x	0	51	50
y	4	0	1
z	5	1	0

cost to

from	x	y	z
x			
y	idem		
z			

node y table

cost to

from	x	y	z
x	0	4	5
y	4	0	1
z	5	1	0

60, 4, 0, 1

cost to

from	x	y	z
x	0	4	5
y	6	0	1
z	5	1	0

cost to

from	x	y	z
x	0	51	50
y	6	0	1
z	5	1	0

cost to

from	x	y	z
x	0	4	5
y	8	0	1
z	7	1	0

node z table

cost to

from	x	y	z
x	0	4	5
y	4	0	1
z	5	1	0

50, 1, 0

cost to

from	x	y	z
x	0	4	5
y	4	0	1
z	5	1	0

cost to

from	x	y	z
x	0	51	50
y	6	0	1
z	7	1	0

time

Same with poison reverse!



node x table

cost to

from	x	y	z
x	0	4	5
y	∞	0	1
z	5	1	0

60, 0, 4, 50

cost to

from	x	y	z
x	0	51	50
y	∞	0	1
z	5	1	0

cost to

from	x	y	z
x			
y	idem		
z			

node y table

cost to

from	x	y	z
x	0	∞	∞
y	4	0	1
z	∞	∞	0

60, 4, 0, 1

cost to

from	x	y	z
x	0	∞	∞
y	60	0	1
z	∞	∞	0

cost to

from	x	y	z
x			
y	idem		
z			

cost to

from	x	y	z
x	0	51	50
y	51	0	1
z	50	∞	0

node z table

cost to

from	x	y	z
x	0	4	5
y	4	0	∞
z	5	1	0

50, 1, 0

cost to

from	x	y	z
x	0	4	5
y	4	0	∞
z	5	1	0

cost to

from	x	y	z
x	0	∞	∞
y	60	0	∞
z	50	1	0

time

Comparison of LS and DV algorithms

Message complexity

- LS: with n nodes, E links, O(nE) msgs sent
- DV: exchange between neighbors only
  - convergence time varies

Speed of Convergence

- LS: $O(n^2)$ algorithm requires O(nE) msgs
  - may have oscillations
- DV: convergence time varies
  - may be routing loops
  - count-to-infinity problem

Robustness: what happens if router malfunctions?

LS:

- node can advertise incorrect *link* cost
- each node computes only its *own* table

DV:

- DV node can advertise incorrect *path* cost
- each node's table used by others
  - error propagate thru network

Chapter 4: Network Layer

4. 1 Introduction

4.2 Virtual circuit and datagram networks

4.3 What's inside a router

4.4 IP: Internet Protocol

- Datagram format
- IPv4 addressing
- ICMP
- IPv6

4.5 Routing algorithms

- Link state
- Distance Vector
- Hierarchical routing

4.6 Routing in the Internet

- RIP
- OSPF
- BGP

4.7 Broadcast and multicast routing

Intra-AS Routing

- also known as Interior Gateway Protocols (IGP)
- most common Intra-AS routing protocols:
  - RIP: Routing Information Protocol
  - OSPF: Open Shortest Path First
  - IGRP: Interior Gateway Routing Protocol (Cisco proprietary)

RIP (Routing Information Protocol)

- included in BSD-UNIX distribution in 1982
- distance vector algorithm
  - distance metric: # hops (max = 15 hops), each link has cost 1
  - DVs exchanged with neighbors every 30 sec in response message (aka advertisement)
  - each advertisement: list of up to 25 destination *subnets (in IP addressing sense)*



from router A to destination subnets:

subnet	hops
u	1
v	2
w	2
x	3
y	3
z	2

RIP: Example



routing table in router D

destination subnet	next router	# hops to dest
w	A	2
y	B	2
z	B	7
x	--	1
....	....	....

RIP: Example

A-to-D advertisement

dest	next	hops
w	-	1
x	-	1
z	C	4
...	...	...



routing table in router D

destination subnet	next router	# hops to dest
w	A	2
y	B	2
z	B A	7 5
x	--	1
....	....	....

RIP: Link Failure and Recovery

If no advertisement heard after 180 sec --> neighbor/ link declared dead

- routes via neighbor invalidated
- new advertisements sent to neighbors
- neighbors in turn send out new advertisements (if tables changed)
- link failure info quickly (?) propagates to entire net
- *poison reverse* used to prevent ping-pong loops (infinite distance = 16 hops)

RIP Table processing

- RIP routing tables managed by **application-level** process called route-d (daemon)
- advertisements sent in UDP packets, periodically repeated



OSPF (Open Shortest Path First)

- "open": publicly available
- uses Link State algorithm
  - LS packet dissemination
  - topology map at each node
  - route computation using Dijkstra's algorithm

- OSPF advertisement carries one entry per neighbor router
- advertisements disseminated to entire AS (via flooding)
  - carried in OSPF messages directly over IP (rather than TCP or UDP

OSPF "advanced" features (not in RIP)

- security: all OSPF messages authenticated (to prevent malicious intrusion)
- multiple same-cost paths allowed (only one path in RIP)
- for each link, multiple cost metrics for different TOS (e.g., satellite link cost set "low" for best effort ToS; high for real time ToS)
- integrated uni- and multicast support:
  - Multicast OSPF (MOSPF) uses same topology data base as OSPF
- hierarchical OSPF in large domains.

Hierarchical OSPF



Hierarchical OSPF

- two-level hierarchy: local area, backbone.
  - link-state advertisements only in area
  - each nodes has detailed area topology; only know direction (shortest path) to nets in other areas.
- *area border routers:* "summarize" distances to nets in own area, advertise to other Area Border routers.
- *backbone routers:* run OSPF routing limited to backbone.
- *boundary routers:* connect to other AS's.